

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,706	03/29/2004	Akihiko Shimasaki	KPC-0309	9050
23353 7590 10/30/2007 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		EXAMINER		
			CAMERON, ERMA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2007	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/810,706	SHIMASAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	/Erma Cameron/	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Set</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/810,706

Art Unit: 1792

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The rejection of Claims 6, 9-10, 13, 16-18 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiguchi et al (6503629) is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 9/13/2007.
- 3. Claims 6, 9-10, 13, 16-18, 20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-007960.

'960 teaches a cationic composition that cures into a film on metal that comprises an amino-epoxy/caprolactone resin adduct (which has an epoxy equivalent of 185), a blocked polyisocyanate (which may be aromatic, and which may be reacted with propylene glycol) as crosslinker, and bismuth hydroxide as catalyst. The film has a Tg of 70-90 C, which is

Application/Control Number: 10/810,706

Art Unit: 1792

encompassed by applicant's claimed range. The thickness is 10-40 micrometers, which overlaps with applicant's claimed 20 microns. See Abstract, claim 4-6, [0013] [0014] [0017] [0025] [0035] of translation.

Because the resin adduct, crosslinker and Bi compound are the same as claimed by applicant, the oxygen permeability of claim 13 and the adhesive force of claim 18 or 24 are expected to at least overlap with the values claimed by applicant.

4. Claims 6, 9-10, 13, 16-18, 20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiguchi et al (6734260).

'260 teaches a cationic coating composition for metals that comprises a xylene-formaldehyde resin modified, amino group containing epoxy with an epoxy equivalent of 180-2500 (and that may be further reacted with a polyol like caprolactone), a blocked polyisocyanate such as MDI (and which has been reacted with propylene glycol), and rust preventative such as bismuth hydroxide The coating has good adhesion to steel. The film thickness is 10-40 microns, which overlaps with applicant's claimed value (see Abstract; 2:20-9:27; 10:17-26).

Because the resin, blocked polyisocyanate and Bi compound are the same as claimed by applicant, the oxygen permeability of claim 13, Tg of claim 13, and the adhesive force of claim 18 or 24 are expected to at least overlap with the values claimed by applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,706 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 16: MDI should be written in full, for clarity.

## Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,706 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Erma Cameron/ whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Erma Cameron/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

October 25, 2007